

CO3: a COnverter for proving COfluence of COnditional term rewriting systems*

Naoki Nishida
Nagoya University
nishida@is.nagoya-u.ac.jp

Makishi Yanagisawa
Nagoya University
makishi@trs.cm.is.nagoya-u.ac.jp

Karl Gmeiner
UAS Technikum Wien
gmeiner@technikum-wien.at

June 27, 2014

1 Overview

CO3 is a tool for proving confluence of conditional term rewriting systems (CTRS) by using a transformational approach. The tool is based on the result in [4]: the tool first transforms a given normal 1-CTRS into an unconditional term rewriting system (TRS) by using the *SR transformation* [5], and then verify confluence of the transformed TRS.

The tool is available from <http://www.trs.cm.is.nagoya-u.ac.jp/co3/> via a web interface.

2 Supported Classes

The tool supports *normal 1-CTRSs* without any strategy and theory (specified by `STRATEGY` and `THEORY`, resp.), the class of which includes *TRSs*. The implemented techniques for TRSs are very poor since the tool is focusing on CTRSs. Due to a technical reason as shown later, indeed the tool is working for *weakly left-linear* CTRSs which has at least one condition.

3 Technical Background

The (optimized) SR transformation [5] is denoted by \mathbb{SR} .

Theorem 1 *A weakly left-linear normal 1-CTRS \mathcal{R} is confluent if $\mathbb{SR}(\mathcal{R})$ is confluent [4]. An orthogonal TRS is confluent [2]. A terminating TRS is confluent if all its critical pairs are joinable [3].*

4 Implemented Procedure

Given a CTRS \mathcal{R} , the tool performs as follows:

1. If \mathcal{R} is a normal 1-CTRS, then go to the next step, and otherwise, stop with printing “UNSUPPORTED”.
2. If \mathcal{R} is a TRS, then let $\mathcal{R}' := \mathcal{R}$ and go to Step 5.
3. If \mathcal{R} is weakly left-linear, then go to the next step, and otherwise, stop with printing “MAYBE”.¹
4. Apply \mathbb{SR} to \mathcal{R} , obtaining \mathcal{R}' by $\mathcal{R}' := \mathbb{SR}(\mathcal{R})$. Then, go to the next step. Note that if \mathcal{R} is a constructor system, then we do not introduce a special unary

*The research in this paper is partly supported by the Austrian Science Fund (FWF) international project I963 and the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (JSPS).

¹We may use criteria for proving confluence of CTRSs directly, but we did not implement such an approach in order to concentrate on the technique using transformations.

symbol wrapping the evaluation of conditions, that is, we apply the transformation of Antoy, Brassel, and Hanus [1] (see [5]).

5. Verify confluence of \mathcal{R}' by using the existing criteria or other tools for proving confluence of TRSs. If \mathcal{R}' is confluent, then stop with printing “YES”, and otherwise, go to the next step. The current version of the tool is checking whether (i) \mathcal{R}' is orthogonal, or (ii) \mathcal{R}' is terminating (under a very simple syntactic criterion) and all critical pairs of \mathcal{R}' are joinable.
6. Try to disprove confluence by the following simple criterion: there exists an *unconditional* critical pair (s, t) of \mathcal{R} such that s and t are different ground and strongly irreducible w.r.t. \mathcal{R} . If this criterion is satisfied, then stop with printing “NO”, and otherwise, stop with printing “MAYBE”.

One can use the tool by the following command:

```
co3 trs-format-file
```

The output of the execution is “UNSUPPORTED”, “YES”, “NO”, or “MAYBE” (or an error message if unexpected errors (e.g., on syntax) happen).

5 Remarks

This tool is basically a converter of CTRSs to TRSs. The main expected use of this tool is the collaboration with other tools for proving confluence of TRSs. For this purpose, the tool provides a pure converter, named CO2 (a COnverter of COnditional term rewriting systems):

```
co2 trs-format-file
```

The result is a TRS in the `trs` format, and nothing if the input is unsupported.

References

- [1] S. Antoy, B. Brassel, and M. Hanus. Conditional narrowing without conditions. In *Proc. PPDP 2003*, pages 20–31. ACM, 2003.
- [2] J. W. Klop. *Term Rewriting Systems*, volume 2 of *Handbook of Logic in Computer Science*, pages 1–116. Oxford University Press, 1992.
- [3] D. E. Knuth and P. B. Bendix. Simple word problems in universal algebras. *Computational problems in abstract algebra*, pages 263–297, 1970.
- [4] N. Nishida, M. Yanagisawa, and K. Gmeiner. On proving confluence of conditional term rewriting systems via the computationally equivalent transformation. In *Proc. IWC 2014*, 2014. to appear.
- [5] T.-F. Şerbănuţă and G. Roşu. Computationally equivalent elimination of conditions. In *Proc. RTA 2006*, volume 4098 of *LNCS*, pages 19–34. Springer, 2006.